

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/966,944	09/27/2001	Robert A. Dunstan	42390P11892	5051		
8791	7590 01/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			TRAN, NGHI V			
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030		2151			
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		944	DUNSTAN, ROBERT A.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
	Nghi V. 1	ran	2151			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF Tons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emmunication. In statutory period will apply and very will, by statute, cause the apple after the mailing date of this control of the status of the sta	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be timwill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 	2b) ☐ This action is on for allowance excep	non-final. It for formal matters, pro		merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-22 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-22 is/are regroup is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) include 11) The oath or declaration is objected	re: a) accepted or be ojection to the drawing(s) ing the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 6, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicants wrote "the electronic device achieves universal plug-n-play (UPnP) capability without using a UPnP protocol" (emphasized added). The examiner cannot find any support for this limitation. The examiner considers the "without" as a negative limitation. According to MPEP2173.05(i) "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure". Since the applicants does not positively describe that the electronic device achieves UPnP capability without using a UPnP protocol.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2151

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-14 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0078161. (hereinafter Cheng), in view of Moyer et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0103898 (hereinafter Moyer).
- 5. With respect to claims 1, 6, 13, and 18, Cheng teaches an apparatus [fig.1 and see abstract] comprising: an electronic device [i.e. UPnP controller (UCP) 120] for coupling to a home network system [figs.1-2], the electronic device having a memory device, the memory device contains a remote location's complete address [i.e. IP address] to a page storing one of control and characteristic information for the electronic device, one of the control and the characteristic information is retrieved from the remote location if the home network system does not have the one of control and the characteristic information stored [paragraphs 0019 and 0025-0033].

However, Cheng does not explicitly show the electronic device achieves universal plug-n-play (UPnP) capability without using a UPnP protocol.

In a communication apparatus, Moyer suggests the electronic device achieves universal plug-n-play (UPnP) capability without using a UPnP protocol [i.e. using SIP, see abstract and paragraph 0050-0054].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Cheng in view of Moyer by achieving UPnP capability without using a UPnP protocol because this feature is not tied to any

particular conference control protocol, but instead it is designed to be independent of the lower-layer transport protocol [Moyer, paragraph 0014]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated in order to accommodate a number of different modes of communications [Moyer, paragraph 0013].

- 6. With respect to claims 2, 8, and 16, Cheng further teaches the complete address includes one of a complete uniform resource locator (URL) and a complete Internet protocol (IP) address to a specific page for the electronic device [paragraph 0027].
- 7. With respect to claims 3 and 8, Cheng further teaches the electronic device's characteristics and control information is maintained at the remote location [fig.1 and paragraphs 0028-0030].
- 8. With respect to claims 4 and 10, Cheng further teaches the electronic device is a consumer electronic (CE) device [120 i.e. UPnP controller].
- 9. With respect to claims 5 and 12, Cheng further teaches the electronic device transmits the complete device identification information [paragraph 0066 i.e. a service identification] on a device specific bus [205] when coupled to the device specific bus [figs.1-2 and paragraphs 0008-0009].

Application/Control Number: 09/966,944 Page 5

Art Unit: 2151

10. With respect to claim 7, Cheng further teaches a central processing device [130 i.e. file server] coupled to the home network; a central memory device coupled to the central processing device; and a display coupled to the central processing device [paragraphs 0040-0058].

- 11. With respect to claim 11, Cheng further teaches the remote network is one of the Internet and an Intranet [paragraphs 0008-0009].
- 12. With respect to claims 14 and 19, Cheng further teaches using the device's characteristic information to control the device; detetermining whether the stored characteristic information needs to be updated; and replacing the stored characteristic information with new characteristic information if the stored characteristic information needs to be updated [paragraphs 0018-0030].
- 13. With respect to claims 17 and 22, Cheng further teaches displaying information on a display device [fig.1 and paragraphs 0004-0007].

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 and 16-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/966,944 Page 6

Art Unit: 2151

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,944 Page 7

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi V Tran Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151

NT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER